STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS 302 West Washington Street Room E418 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769

REVIEW REPORT OF

GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES STATE OF INDIANA

October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2008





TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
Agency Officials	2
Independent Accountant's Report	3
Review Comment: SDO Advance	4
Exit Conference	5
Official's Response	6

AGENCY OFFICIALS

Office	<u>Official</u>	<u>Term</u>
Executive Director	Suellen Jackson-Boner	07-01-06 to 06-30-09
Chairperson	Duane Etienne	05-13-04 to 01-08-08
Acting Chairperson	Nancy Griffin	01-09-08 to 07-07-08
Chairperson	Steve Tilden	07-08-08 to 06-30-09



STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS 302 WEST WASHINGTON STREET ROOM E418 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2769

> Telephone: (317) 232-2513 Fax: (317) 232-4711 Web Site: www.in.gov/sboa

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT

TO: THE OFFICIALS OF THE GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

We have reviewed the receipts, disbursements, and assets of the Governor's Council for People with Disabilities for the period of October 1, 2006, to September 30, 2008. Governor's Council for People with Disabilities' management is responsible for the receipts, disbursements, and assets.

Our review was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review is substantially less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the receipts, disbursements, and assets. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Financial transactions of this office are included in the scope of our audits of the State of Indiana as reflected in the Indiana Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports.

Based on our review, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the receipts, disbursements, and assets of the Governor's Council for People with Disabilities are not in all material respects in conformity with the criteria set forth in the <u>Accounting and Uniform Compliance Guidelines Manual for State and Quasi Agencies</u>, and applicable laws and regulations, except as stated in the review comments.

STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTS

October 29, 2008

GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES REVIEW COMMENT September 30, 2008

SDO ADVANCE

The Special Disbursing Officer Fund (SDO) advance for the Governor's Council for People with Disabilities was not turned over, or reimbursed completely, for several months.

If an SDO advance is not used within one or two months then the SDO advance is too large and should be reduced. (Accounting and Uniform Compliance Guidelines Manual for State and Quasi Agencies, Chapter 7)

GOV	ERNOR'S COUNCIL FOR PE EXIT CONFER	 6
	is report were discussed on N fficial response has been mad	



Indiana Governor's Council for People with Disabilities

150 West Market Street, Suite 628 • Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2821 (317) 232-7770 (V/TTY) • 233-3712 Fax

December 9, 2008

State Board of Accounts 302 Washington St. Room E418 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2765

I appreciate the through review that was conducted by your representative Mr. Michael Lynch.

With regard to the finding The Special Disbursing Officer Fund advance for the Governor's Council for People with Disabilities was not turned over, or reimburse completely for several months'

The Council has witness a great deal of turmoil during the past year, as the person who had been in the position of Business Administrator for the past 10 year resigned December 31, 2007. The person hired into the position at the end of January did not work out. As a temporary solution to the vacancy created, the Office of Management and Budget has placed a series of persons through the GMIS program to assist with a limited scope of the responsibilities assigned to the position vacated. The Council is appreciative of this assistance, but due to the unfamiliarity of our agency and the lack of continuity with the position, I believe the finding regarding the use of the SDO account is an anomaly.

Once we have a permanent replacement for this crucial position, I would recommend that we review the account to determine if in deed the lack of usage was an anomaly or is caused by some other explanation. Upon reviewing the results, we will determine if the situation calls for a modification. At this point we will leave the account as is.

Thank you again for your support and assistance..

Sincerely,

Suellen Jackson-Boner

Executive Director